

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/866,867 05/30/2001		Goran Snygg	3670-33	4501		
7:	7590 03/10/2004			EXAMINER		
NIXON & VA	ANDERHYE P.C.	NGUYEN, DUC M				
8th Floor 1100 North Gle	be Road	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Arlington, VA	22201	2685	4.			
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004	·		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
			66,867	SNYGG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Exar	niner	Art Unit				
		Duc	M. Nguyen	2685				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commu	nication appears o	on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence ac	idress			
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD IN ATE OF THIS COMMUNA BY BE AVAILABLE UNDER THE PROVISION S from the mailing date of this comes specified above is less than thirty (is specified above, the maximum so the set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within ti statutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause t	no event, however, may a rep ne statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTI he application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) fil	ed on .			•			
2a) ☐ This action	` '	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.	•				
3) Since this	application is in conditior	n for allowance ex	cept for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in a	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clair	ns							
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1. 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	-8 is/are pending in the a above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. -8 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restri	are withdrawn froi						
Application Papers								
	cation is objected to by the							
·	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
	nt drawing sneet(s) including the declaration is objected to t	_		•	· ·			
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119							
a)⊠ All b)□ 1.⊠ Certi 2.□ Certi 3.□ Copi appli	gment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: Ified copies of the priority ified copies of the priority ies of the certified copies ication from the Internation ched detailed Office action	or documents have or documents have of the priority documents and the priority documents of the	been received. been received in Apcuments have been received and received in Apcuments have been received.	plication No eceived in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Reference				mmary (PTO-413)				
	son's Patent Drawing Review (ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o ate <u>5</u> .			/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT0 -	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/866,867

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The references listed in the information disclosure statement submitted on 5/30/01 has been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims **1-8** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Harada** et al (US **6,636,747**).

Regarding claim 1, Harada discloses a multi-mode radio communication system which comprises a transmitting device (base station), a receiving device (mobile station), wherein each of the device comprises a transmitter/receiver, an antenna, a variable filter, a control device for configuring the operation of the device including the filter (see Figs. 2-3, col. 2, lines 20-39, col. 5, lines 53-60, col. 6, lines 3-6) based on the differential parameters received from the external source (see Fig. 5, col. 7, lines 13-40). This would include all the claimed limitations except for the microwave frequency range. However, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art that the multi-mode

Art Unit: 2685

radio communication system in Harata could be configured to work in the microwave frequency range and would work equally well. Therefore, the claimed limitations are made obvious by Harata for using an external control signal to configuring the variable filter characteristics according to a communication mode.

Regarding claims 2-3, they are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above. In addition, it is clear that Harada would disclose the external source for controlling variable filters of the two devices as claimed (see col. 2, lines 20-39, col. 7, lines 13-40).

Regarding claims 4-5, they are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above. In addition, it is clear that the filter in Harada would obviously be able to be configured to bandpass filters or notch filters according to differential parameter so that the filter would work best for a particular communication mode.

Regarding claims 6-8, they are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claims 1-3 above, respectively.

Conclusion

- 2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Latt (US Patent Number 5,987,304), Repeater with variable bandwidth.
 - Phillips (US Patent Number 6,188,898), Mobile communication network.
- **Heidari** (US Patent Number 5,854,978), Remotely programmable mobile terminal.

Application/Control Number: 09/866,867

Art Unit: 2685

3. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

703-872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry)

(for informal or draft communications, please label PROPOSED or DRAFT)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc M. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-4531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:30 AM – 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 703-305-4385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Duc Nguyen Julyuyu

Mar 5, 2004

Page 4